

State Reporting Templates for Tax-Exempt Hospitals: Community Benefit Expenditures and Program Outcomes

To ensure that the <u>billions</u> of dollars states forego through tax exemptions to nonprofit hospitals yield genuine value to their communities, states are <u>requiring</u> or encouraging hospitals to submit more detailed reporting of their community benefit expenditures and their impact. To help state officials who are interested in augmenting their hospital community benefit reporting, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP) analyzed several state reporting tools and synthesized them into two reporting templates to help collect data on hospital community benefit expenditures and outcomes.

The two templates capture more granular and actionable information than is currently required by the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) Form 990 Schedule H, which allows some systems with multiple facilities to report in aggregate, and does not require hospitals to tie their reported spending back to the community health needs assessment (CHNA). The templates require:

- Each hospital in a health system to report individually;
- Hospitals to report net spending only, excluding grant funding or other external support that offsets program costs; and
- Hospitals to include information about programs and activities that the IRS considers to be community benefit, communitybuilding, or community health improvement activities on Parts I and II of IRS Form 990, Schedule H.

States can tailor the templates to meet their needs and can add questions or instructions to inform their policy decisions.

Hospital Community Benefit and Building Reporting: Hospital Expenditures

Spending on Needs Identified in the Community Health Needs Assessment				Spending on Needs Not Identified in the Community Health Needs				
Community health needs identified in the implementation strategy section of the most recent assessment Prioritize the needs	Specific actions taken by a hospital to address the identified community health needs Each hospital	Net dollar amount applied toward each action or effort Indicate if the amount is paid by the hospital to outside	Other resources, such as in-kind donations or staff time, applied toward each action or effort	Actions or efforts to address a community health need not identified in the implementation strategy in the most recent		Net dollar amount applied toward each action or effort	Other resources, such as inkind donations or staff time, applied toward	Justification - why was a need addressed when it was not identified by the assessment?
numerically, with #1 representing the highest priority States could prepopulate some identified needs based on their health improvement plan or other state priorities.	should describe how its actions or initiatives address the needs identified by its community. Include more rows for additional actions as needed.	organizations to implement specific actions or efforts. Hospitals should not include outside funding sources here.		assessment Hospitals should describe actions or initiatives that the IRS would consider community benefits or community building but are not tied to the assessment.			each action or effort	assessment?
Identified need #1	Action 1: Action 2:	Action 1: Action 2:	Action 1: Action 2:	Other action #1	Need addressed #1			
Identified need #2	Action 1: Action 2:	Action 1: Action 2:	Action 1: Action 2:	Other action #2	Need addressed #2			
Identified need #3	Action 1: Action 2:	Action 1: Action 2:	Action 1: Action 2:	Other action #3	Need addressed #3			
Etc.	Action 1: Action 2:	Action 1: Action 2:	Action 1: Action 2:					

^{*}Hospitals are encouraged to tie community benefit and building expenditures to needs identified in their community health needs assessments (CHNA). This section informs policymakers about how much a hospital's spending addresses needs other than those identified in the CHNA.

Hospital Community Benefit and Community Building Program Reporting: Outcomes

Community health needs	Specific actions	Goal of	Target	Partners	Outcomes to date	Data used to	Statewide
identified in the	taken by a	action	populations	engaged		measure	health
implementation strategy	hospital to		and/or regions		States could give	outcomes	priority
of the most recent	address the				hospitals a menu		addressed
assessment	identified		States could ask		of outcomes, such		
	community health		hospitals how		as those in <u>Metrics</u>		
Prioritize the needs	need		they identified		<u>for Healthy</u>		
numerically, with #1			the target		Communities, the		
representing the highest	Each hospital		populations or		Social Intervention		
priority	should describe		regions.		Research and		
	how its actions or				Evaluation		
States could pre-populate	initiatives address				Network's <u>Social</u>		
some identified needs	the needs				Need Screening		
based on their health	identified by its				Tools Comparison		
improvement plans or	community.				<u>Table</u> , and the		
other state priorities.					Centers for Disease		
					Control and		
					Prevention's <u>Hi-5</u>		
					initiative.		
Identified need #1							
Identified need #2							
Identified need #3							
Etc.							
This sect	ion is for programs w	hose needs w	ere NOT identified	in the asses	sment's implementat	ion strategy*	
Other need #1							
Other need #2							
Etc.							

^{*}Hospitals are encouraged to tie community benefit and building expenditures to needs identified by their community health needs assessments. This section is designed to inform policymakers about how much current hospital spending addresses needs other than those in the assessment.

Many thanks to the members of the NASHP Hospital Community Benefit Workgroup, with particular thanks to the states that shared their reporting tools to inform this template, including Connecticut, Massachusetts, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Vermont. If you have questions about these templates, or about the NASHP Hospital Community Benefit Workgroup, please contact Elinor Higgins (ehiggins@nashp.org). Support for this work was provided by the New England States Consortium Systems Organization and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. The views expressed here do not necessarily reflect the views of the foundation.